| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | , Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|-----|
| | 10/602,005 | BLONDEL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Peter Szekely | 1714 | • |
| All Participants: | Status of Applicat | ion: <u>Allowed</u> | |
| (1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>John Sopp</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>1 March 2007</u> | Time: <u>11:30 a.m.</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: |] Applicant's representative) No | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None. | | | |
| Claims discussed: Claim 41. | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None. | | | |
| Part II. | _ | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Claim 41 will be canceled. | E GENERAL NATURE OF WH | AT WAS DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance | e a written summary of the substar e of the interview, since the intervi | nce |
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| | • | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 200 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | Applicant/Applicant's Represent | ative Signature – if appropriate) | |